

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/704,573	11/03/2000	Herman Rodriguez	AUS9-2000-0484-US1	2332
7	590 08/14/2003			
Joseph T Van Leeuwen			EXAMINER	
PO Box 71641 Austin, TX 78708-1641			BORISSOV, IGOR N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	

DATE MAILED: 08/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/704,573	RODRIGUEZ ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Igor Borissov	3629					
The MAILING DATE f this communication app Peri df r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 I</u>	<u>November 2000</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.	* ' -						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement						
Application Papers	, oloolon roquilonioni.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	pted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re-	ply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority document 							
2. Certified copies of the priority document							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ 	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
O. Deletion of Trade and Company							

\ ****

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 5, it is confusing because it repeats the limitations of claim 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 11 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nelson (US 6,496,568).

Nelson teaches a method and system for providing automated notification to a customer, comprising:

As per claims 1, 11 and 18,

scheduling the travel plans using a computer system (Abstract; column 1, lines 47-49; column 2, lines 33-35; column 1, line 35 – column 2, line 58);

Art Unit: 3629

recording the scheduled travel plans on a nonvolatile storage device connected to the computer system (column 5, lines 14-33; column 1, line 35 – column 2, line 58); sending a message to each of the contacts in response to the scheduling (column 1, line 35 – column 2, line 58).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4, 15-17 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson in view of Kramer et al. (US 6,327,574).

As per claims 2-4, 15-17 and 22-24, Nelson teaches said method and system, comprising:

grouping the contacts into a plurality of classes (Abstract; column 1, lines 44-46; column 2, lines 5-8);

providing details corresponding to the travel plans to the contacts based upon the class corresponding to each contact (column 1, line 35 – column 2, line 58);

providing a contact method for each of the contacts, wherein the contact method includes at least one of sending an email message, sending a facsimile, sending a voice mail, sending a page, and sending a data stream using a predefined protocol (column 1, lines 40-44; column 4, lines 10-15);

Art Unit: 3629

reading a user profile (column 1, line 35 - column 2, line 58).

However, Nelson does not specifically teach that providing details includes providing varying levels of detail, and that reading a user profile determines the message to use for each contact.

Kramer et al. teach a method and system for hierarchical models of consumer attributes for targeting content, wherein consumer profiles are groupped in accordance with models including hierarchial attribute vectors which encode attributes of consumer at progressively higher levels of abstraction, for generating messages most closely matching to various consumer profiles (Abstract; column 2, line 47 – column 3, line 60).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Nelson to include providing varying levels of detail based upon reading a user profile, because it would allow to provide the consumer with inforamtion most matching with his interests or previous behaivior.

Claims 6-10, 12-14 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson and Kramer et al. in view of Sharood et al. (US 6,453,687).

As per claims 6-10, 12-14 and 19-21, Nelson and Kramer et al. teach all the limitations of claims 6-10, 12-14 and 19-21, except for sending a message to the home automation system; and setting a home automation environment in the home automation system.

Art Unit: 3629

Sharood et al. teach a method and system for a home automation system which can be accessed and controlled remotly utilizing a computer network (column 1, line 29 - column 2, line 36; column 3, line 57 – column 4, line 48).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Nelson and Kramer et al. to include sending a message to the home automation system, because it would allow to provide the consumer with additional services relating to his home automation system, thereby making the system more attractive to the customers.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure (see form PTO-892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Igor Borissov at telephone number (703) 305-4649.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, John Weiss, can be reached at (703) 308-2702.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

Art Unit: 3629

(703) 305-7687

[Official communications; including After Final

communications labeled "Box AF"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

JOHN G. WEISS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600